



The Effect of Stock Price, Stock Liquidity and Trading Volume on Stock Split on Companies Listed on IDX in 2015-2020

Maya Yuliana ¹, Dwi Dewisri Kinasih ², Sulistyandari ³
Management Study Program, University of Muhammadiyah Riau, Indonesia
E-mail: ¹mayayuliana0604@gmail.com



<https://doi.org/10.54099/aijb.v1i2.166>

ARTICLE INFO

Research Paper

Article History:

Received: 24 May 2022

Revised: 27 June 2022

Accepted: 16 June 2022

Keywords: Stock Price, Stock Liquidity, Trading Volume, and Stock Split.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine and analyze the effect of stock prices, stock liquidity, and trading volume on stock splits in companies listed on the IDX in 2015-2020. This research is a quantitative research with research sampling method using purposive sampling method. The data analysis method in this study used logistic regression analysis with the help of SPSS 16.0 software. The sample used is 41 companies during the 2015-2020 period. The source of data used in this research is secondary data in the form of the company's annual financial report. The results showed that partially the stock price variable had no significant positive effect on the stock split. The stock liquidity variable partially has a significant positive effect on the stock split. The stock trading volume variable partially has no significant negative effect on the stock split.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, several large companies have carried out stock split actions. The main purpose of a stock split is to place the stock in a more attractive trading range, which is expected to attract more buyers (Nur., 2017). This stock split action is categorized as a corporate action, which is a corporate action is an issuer's activity that provides benefits to investors, this activity can affect the structure, ownership, position, price of securities, and the number of securities circulating in the market (Siti & Hanafiah, 2020). A stock split is often referred to as a split per share into several shares. Stock split information can be considered by investors to determine whether to buy or not to buy the shares (Iskamto, 2015; Jayaprawira et al., 2022; Sukmadewi, 2021; Tanoyo, 2018). However, according to (Priatno & Freddy, 2021) stated that the stock split actually has no economic value or it can be said that the stock split does not add to the value of a company's shares. From the statement above, there are two opinions, namely the stock split has an effect on the stock and another opinion states that the stock split does not have a major influence on the stock.

One of the factors that can affect the stock split policy is the high level of stock prices. Companies that have high share prices reflect good company value, on the other hand companies that have low share prices reflect poor company values. However, stock prices that are too high will be a problem for the company. This is because the high stock price will reduce the interest of investors in the stock (Tanoyo, 2018). Research conducted by (Puspita, 2018) states that stock prices have an influence on

the company's decision to do a stock split. In contrast to the research conducted by (Handayani & Yasa, 2017) stated that the level of price expensiveness has no influence on the company's decision to do a stock split.

Judging from the existence of a stock split event that can keep the stock price in a position that can be reached by investors, it is estimated that the company will conduct a stock split, namely to increase stock liquidity. Stock price liquidity is very important for companies that offer their shares. Trading activities in the capital market carried out by investors and issuers will affect the level of liquidity of the stock price (Amin, 2020). Research conducted by (Munthe, 2017) stock split decision making by companies. This is different from the research conducted by (Liyas & Adrianto, 2022; Puspita, 2018; Sukmadewi et al., 2021) stock split decision making by the company.

Another motivation that can make companies have to do a stock split is by looking at the level of trading volume of a stock. Increasing trading volume can be done by implementing a stock split policy, because the stock split policy can make stock prices that used to have high prices can be replaced with cheaper prices by splitting the number of shares outstanding. (Jayanti & Fattah, 2021). Research conducted by (Maulana et al., 2021) in their research stated that there was no significant difference in stock trading volume before and after the stock split. In contrast to the research conducted by (Adhiwijaya, 2018) which states that the volume of stock trading has differences before and after the stock split.

Based on this description, it can be concluded that the relationship between factors on stock split decision making cannot be concluded because it has different results.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Signaling Theory

According to (Endiana & Suryandari, 2021) signaling theory is a notification in the form of information about the condition of the company and conveyed to investors, potential investors, creditors where the information is a form of signal from the condition of the related company.

Trading Range Theory

Trading range theory is a theory that describes how stock splits can have an effect on increasing company liquidity (Indrayani et al., 2020). According to (Hadiwijaya, 2018) the trading range theory explains the purpose of the company doing a stock split, namely to maintain the stock price range so that it can increase investors' purchasing power for the shares that have been issued.

Stock Split

Stock Split or stock split according to (Maulana et al., 2021) namely issuers who take action to separate the nominal shares to be cheaper and the number of shares outstanding increases than before the issuer took a stock split policy in accordance with the split factor.

Stock price

According to (Endiana & Suryandari, 2021) the stock price is the nominal price set for a company by taking into account the profits of the company. According to (Suryana & Surtikanti, 2020) the share price is the nominal price of an issuer which can be determined when the capital market takes place and the closing price will be determined when the capital market closes.

Stock Liquidity

According to (Sulaiman & Darwis, 2020) stock liquidity is about how well a company can sell shares at a price that matches its fair value. Stock liquidity can be formed from the existence of a stock

supply and demand mechanism. The more market participants are interested in stocks, the stock prices will be pushed up (Amaliyah & Aminah, 2020).

Trading Volume

According to (Merthadiyanti & Yasa, 2019), stock trading volume is the level of demand and supply by investors for the shares of an issuer. Stocks that have good quality and value can be seen from how large the trading volume of the issuer's shares is, if the stock trading volume is high, it means that the shares of the issuer are favored by investors.

METHOD

This research was conducted on data that has been listed on the IDX with the specifications of all companies listed on the IDX in 2015-2020. This study uses secondary data in the form of finished data sourced from BEI, Yahoo Finance, and so on. Collecting data using documentation study. The data analysis model used logistic regression, with the $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3$. Tests were carried out using descriptive statistical analysis, regression model feasibility test, multicollinearity test, logistic regression model, partial test (wald), and coefficient of determination (Nagelkerke's Rsquare), as well as data processing using SPSS v.16 program.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	mean	Std. Deviation
Stock Split	246	0	1	0.17	0.373
Stock price	246	-550.59	1711,11	19.2716016	124.23151
Stock Liquidity	246	0	0.9874359	0.5172	0.21386
Trading Volume	246	0.000003	3.0595583	0.1252	0.3251137
Valid N (listwise)	246				

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

I

In the table 1 above, it can be seen that the results of the amount of data used in this study were 246 data originating from 41 companies listed on the IDX in 2015-2020. The stock price variable measured using the PER ratio has a minimum value of -550.59 and a maximum value of 1711.11 with an average value of 19.271 and a standard deviation of 124.23151. The stock liquidity variable as measured by the bid-ask spread ratio has a minimum value of 0 and a maximum value of 0.987 with an average value of 0.5172 and a standard deviation of 0.213. The trading volume variable measured using the TVA ratio has a minimum value of 0.00033 and a maximum value of 3.0595583 with an average value of 0.1252 and a standard deviation of 0.3251137. The dependent variable, namely the stock split variable, has a minimum value of 0 and a maximum value of 1 with an average value of 0.17 and a standard error of 0.373.

Table 2 Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
The company does not stock split	0
Stock split company	1

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

The table 2 above describes the dependent variable code, where the category “Companies not stock split ” is symbolized by code 0 and the category “Companies with stock splits” is symbolized by code 1.

**Feasibility Test of Regression Model
Hosmer's Test and Lemeshow's Test of Goodness Of Fit**

Table 3 Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	10,729	8	0.218

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

Based on the table 3 above, obtained from logistic regression analysis shows that the results of the Hosmer and Lemeshow Test obtained a chi-square value of 10.729 with a significance level of 0.218. These results indicate that the probability value > 0.05 (significance value) is 0.218 > 0.05, then H₀ is accepted. This indicates that there is no significant difference between the model and the data so that the regression model in this study is feasible and able to predict the value of the observations.

Loglikelihood Value Test

Table 4 Test -2 Likelihood Logs Consisting Only of Constants

Iteration		-2 Logs likelihood	Coefficients
			Constant
Step 0	1	224,4429	-1,333
	2	221.6928	-1.587
	3	221.6761	-1,609
	4	221.6761	-1,609

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

Table 5 Test -2 Log Likelihood Consisting of Constants and Independent Variables

Iteration		-2 Logs likelihood	Coefficients			
			Constant	X1	X2	X3
Step 1	1	154,267	-3,535	0.0004	4,372	-0.539
	2	120,390	-5,985	0.0014	7,769	-1,199
	3	112,159	-7,860	0.0019	10,269	-1,525
	4	111,219	-8,753	0.0021	11,423	-1.594
	5	111,200	-8,905	0.0020	11,616	-1,599
	6	111,200	-8,909	0.0020	11,621	-1,599
	7	111,200	-8,909	0.0020	11,621	-1,599

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

Based on table 4 obtained from the results of the regression analysis of 221,676. After the three independent variables were included, the final -2Log Likelihood value or in table 5 decreased to 111.200. The difference between the initial -2Log Likelihood and the final -2Log Likelihood showed a decrease of 110.476. It can be concluded that the initial -2Log Likelihood value is greater than the

final -2Log Likelihood value , resulting in a decrease. This indicates that the hypothesized model fits the data, so the addition of independent variables into the model indicates that the regression model is getting better or in other words H_0 is accepted.

Multicollinearity Test Results

Table 6 Tolerance and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

Model	Collinearity Statistics	
	Tolerance	VIF
1 (Constant)		
Stock price	0.891	1.123
Stock Liquidity	0.990	1.010
Trading Volume	0.882	1.133

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

In table 4.6 it can be seen that variable X_1 has a VIF value of 1.123, variable X_2 has a VIF value of 1.010, variable X_3 has a VIF value of 1.133. This shows that the VIF value of the variables X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 has a lower value than 10, so it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity between variables.

Logistics Regression Test Results

Table 7 Variables in the Equation

	B	SE	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% Cifor EXP(B)	
							Lower	Upper
Step 1a								
Stock price	0.002	0.004	0.279	1	0.597	1.002	0.994	1.01
Stock Liquidity	11,621	1,606	52,372	1	0.000	1.1139	4,787	2,592
Trading Volume	-1,599	1.007	2,522	1	0.112	0.202	0.028	1,454
Constant	-8,909	1.163	58,656	1	0.000	0.000		

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

Based on the results of the logistic regression test from table 7 above, the logistic regression equation obtained is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stock Split} &= 0 + {}_1X_1 + {}_2X_2 + {}_3X_3 \\ &= -8,909 + 0.002\text{PER} + 11,621 \text{ Bid Ask Spread} - 1,599\text{TVA} \end{aligned}$$

From the logistic regression equation, it can be analyzed the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable, including the constant value (α) of -8.909, meaning that if the independent variable is ignored, the chance of a stock split will decrease. The stock price variable with the PER ratio has a positive coefficient value of 0.002, meaning that every increase in PER will increase the chance of a stock split . The stock liquidity variable with the bid-ask spread ratio has a positive coefficient value of 11.621, meaning that every increase in the bid-ask spread will increase the chance of a stock split . The trading volume variable with the TVA ratio has a negative coefficient value of -0.068 which means that every increase in TVA will reduce the chance of a stock split.

Partial Test Results (Wald)

Table 8 Wald Test

	B	SE	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% Cifor EXP(B)
--	---	----	------	----	------	--------	-----------------------

								Lower	Upper
Step 1a	Stock price	0.002	0.004	0.279	1	0.597	1.002	0.994	1.01
	Stock Liquidity	11,621	1,606	52,372	1	0.000	1.1139	4,787	2,592
	Trading Volume	-1,599	1.007	2,522	1	0.112	0.202	0.028	1,454
	Constant	-8,909	1.163	58,656	1	0.000	0.000		

Source : Data processed with SPSS, 2022

With the number of observations ($n = 246$) and the number of independent and dependent variables ($k = 4$), the *degree of freedom* ($df = nk = 246 - 4 = 242$), where the significance level is $= 0.05$. With a t_{table} of 1.969815. The first hypothesis (H_1) is that stock prices have a positive effect on stock splits. The results of the Wald test (t) show that the t_{count} value is smaller than the t_{table} ($0.279 < 1.969815$) with a significance value of $0.597 > 0.05$. Based on the test results, it can be concluded that H_1 rejected. The second hypothesis (H_2) is that stock liquidity has a positive effect on the stock split. The results of the Wald test (t) show that the t_{count} value is greater than the t_{table} ($52.372 > 1.969815$) with a significance value of $0.000 < 0.05$. Based on the test results, it can be concluded that H_2 accepted. The third hypothesis (H_3) is that trading volume has a negative effect on the stock split. The results of the Wald test (t) show that the value of t_{count} is greater than t_{table} ($2.522 > 1.969815$) with a significance value of $0.112 > 0.05$. Based on the test results, it can be concluded that H_3 rejected.

CONCLUSION

The stock price as measured by the Price Earning Ratio (PER) is not proven to have a significant positive effect on the stock split. This shows that companies that have high or low stock prices have no effect on the company's decision to do a stock split. The stock liquidity variable as measured by the bid-ask spread ratio is proven to have a significant positive effect on the stock split. This shows that a company that has stock liquidity calculated using a high bid-ask spread proxy will most likely do a stock split, on the other hand, if a company has stock liquidity calculated using a low bid-ask spread proxy, the company will not do a stock split. The trading volume variable measured using the Trading Volume Activity (TVA) ratio was not proven to have a significant negative effect on stock splits. This shows that companies that have high or low trading volumes have no influence on the company's actions to carry out a stock split.

REFERENCES

- Adhiwijaya, N. (2018). Analisis Perbedaan Return Saham dan Volume Perdagangan Saham Sebelum dan Sesudah Kejadian Pemecahan Saham (Stock Split) Tahun 2017 (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Go Public Yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia). *Jurnal Ekobis Dewantara*, 1(8), 114–121.
- Amaliyah, A. M., & Aminah, W. (2020). Analisis Perbandingan Return Saham dan Likuiditas Saham Stock Split (Studi Kasus pada Perusahaan yang Melakukan Stock Split di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2016-2018). *Sosiohumanitas*, 22(1), 1–7.
- Amin, M. A. N. (2020). Apakah Stock Split Memberikan Keuntungan Tidak Normal? *Jurnal Perpajakan, Manajemen, Dan Akuntansi*, 12(1), 9–17.
- Endiana, I. D. M., & Suryandari, N. N. A. (2021). Opini Going Concern: Ditinjau Dari Agensi Teori Dan Pemicunya. *EKUITAS (Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Keuangan)*, 5(2), 224–242. <https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2021.v5.i2.4490>

- Hadiwijaya, C. (2018). Analisis Perbandingan Abnormal Return dan Likuiditas Saham Sebelum dan Sesudah Stock Split Pada Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2010-2015. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Dan Kewirausahaan*, 02(July), 1–23.
- Handayani, N. P. P. D., & Yasa, G. W. (2017). Analisis Tingkat Kemahalan Harga, Return Saham, EPS Dan Likuiditas Perdagangan Saham Terhadap Keputusan Stock Split. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 19, 827–854.
- Indrayani, I., Murhaban, M., & Syatriani, S. (2020). Analisis Perbandingan Volume Perdagangan Saham Sebelum Dan Sesudah Melakukan Stock Split Pada Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2014-2016. *Berkala Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 5(2), 97. <https://doi.org/10.20473/baki.v5i2.20002>
- Iskamto, D. (2015). Anomali Pasar Pada Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Tepak Manajemen Bisnis*, VII(3).
- Jayanti, N. E., & Fattah, V. (2021). Analisis Perbandingan Volume Perdagangan Saham Sebelum dan Sesudah Stock Split di BEI. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 7(1), 1–11.
- Jayaprawira, A. R., Sulistyandari, & Kusumah, A. (2022). Jakarta Islamic Index (JII) Financial Performance Analysis Using EVA and MVA Methods. *International Journal of Islamic Business and Management Review*, 2(1), 128–134. <https://doi.org/10.54099/ijibmr.v2i1.153>
- Liyas, J. N., & Adrianto, F. (2022). Determination of Corporate Action Announcement and Market Reaction: : Stock Price and Stock Liquidity Before and Afte. *International Journal of Islamic Business and Management Review*, 2(1), 117–127. <https://doi.org/10.54099/ijibmr.v2i1.133>
- Maulana, M. F., Kosim, A. M., & Devi, A. (2021). Analisis Pengaruh Tingkat Harga Saham , Return Saham , dan Volume Perdagangan Saham terhadap Stock Split ISSI Periode 2015 – 2020. *Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi & Bisnis Islam*, 4(2), 234–245.
- Merthadiyanti, L. A. W., & Yasa, G. Wi. (2019). Analisis Trading Volume Activity dan Bid-Ask Spread Setelah Stock Split. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 27, 311. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2019.v27.i01.p12>
- Munthe, K. (2017). Perbandingan Abnormal Return Dan Likuiditas Saham Sebelum Dan Sesudah Stock Split: Studi Pada Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 20(2), 254. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v20i2.57>
- Nur., D. (2017). Analysis of Stock Split and Corporate Financial Performance in Indonesian Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 5(2), 2241–2246. <https://doi.org/10.21474/ijar01/3400>
- Priatno, J., & Freddy, F. (2021). Analysis of the Effect of Stock Split on Abnormal Stock Return and Share Liquidity. *Eduvest - Journal Of Universal Studies*, 1(7), 629–640. <https://doi.org/10.36418/edv.v1i7.95>
- Puspita, N. R. (2018). Determinan Keputusan Stock Split Perusahaan (Studi Pada Perusahaan Go Public yang Terdaftar Di BEI). *Jurnal Fakultas Ekonomi*.
- Siti, E., & Hanafiah, A. (2020). ANALISIS PERBEDAAN HARGA SAHAM , ABNORMAL RETURN , DAN VOLUME PERDAGANGAN SAHAM SEBELUM DAN SESUDAH STOCK SPLIT PT. INDOFOOD CBP SUKSES MAKMUR TBK TAHUN Abstrak. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Pasar Modal*, 3(2).
- Sukmadewi, R. (2021). Analysis of the Effect of Current Ratio, Working Capital, Debt Ratio on the Performance of Various Industrial Companies Listed on the IDX. *Husnayain Business Review*, 1(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.54099/hbr.v1i1.25>
- Sukmadewi, R., Sartika, D., Muin, M. R., & Sofyan, D. (2021). The Effect of Liquidity Ratio and Solvency Ratio on the Profitability of Plantation Subsector Companies Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange. *Husnayain Business Review*, 1(1), 69–77. <https://doi.org/10.54099/hbr.v1i1.23>
- Sulaiman, A. Y., & Darwis, H. (2020). Perubahan Tingkat Likuiditas Saham Dan Abnormal Return Yang Dipengaruhi Oleh Peristiwa Pemecahan Saham. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 8(2), 135–145. <https://doi.org/10.37932/ja.v8i2.73>
- Suryana, N., & Surtikanti, S. (2020). E ISSN 2722-2985 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTI SCIENCE CORPORATE ACTION HASIL (STOCK BUYBACK) TERHADAP HARGA

SAHAM : STUDI BUYBACK DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA TAHUN 2014—2019.

International Journal of Multi Science, 1(5).

Tanoyo, A. A. (2018). ANALISIS PENGARUH STOCK SPLIT TERHADAP VOLUME PERDAGANGAN, HARGA SAHAM, DAN ABNORMAL RETURN PADA PERUSAHAAN YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI PERIODE 2017—2018. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Dan Kewirausahaan, 4(1)*, 2018.